JOURNAL OF THE CHUNGCHEONG MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY Volume **30**, No. 4, November 2017 http://dx.doi.org/10.14403/jcms.2017.30.4.423

DOUBLE STRONGLY (r, s)(u, v)-SEMIOPEN SETS

EUN PYO LEE* AND SEUNG ON LEE**

ABSTRACT. We introduce the concepts of double strongly (r, s)(u, v)semiopen sets, double strongly (r, s)(u, v)-semiclosed sets and double pairwise strongly (r, s)(u, v)-semicontinuous mappings in double bitopological spaces and investigate some of their characteristic properties.

1. Introduction

As a generalization of fuzzy sets, the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy sets was introduced by Atanassov [1]. Çoker and his colleagues [4, 6, 7] introduced intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces using intuitionistic fuzzy sets. Using the idea of degree of openness and degree of nonopenness, Çoker and Demirci [5] defined intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces in Šostak's sense as a generalization of smooth fuzzy topological spaces and intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces.

Kandil [8] introduced and studied the notion of fuzzy bitopological spaces as a natural generalization of fuzzy topological spaces.

In this paper, we introduce the concepts of double strongly (r, s)(u, v)semiopen sets, double strongly (r, s)(u, v)-semiclosed sets and double pairwise strongly (r, s)(u, v)-semicontinuous mappings in double bitopological spaces and investigate some of their characteristic properties.

2. Preliminaries

Let I be the unit interval [0,1] of the real line. A member μ of I^X is called a fuzzy set of X. For any $\mu \in I^X$, μ^c denotes the complement $1 - \mu$. By $\tilde{0}$ and $\tilde{1}$ we denote constant maps on X with value 0 and

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 54A40, 03E72.

Received August 09, 2017; Accepted October 17, 2017.

Key words and phrases: double strongly (r, s)(u, v)-semiopen sets, double strongly (r, s)(u, v)-semiclosed sets.

Correspondence should be addressed to Seung On Lee, solee@chungbuk.ac.kr.

1, respectively. All other notations are standard notations of fuzzy set theory. Let X be a nonempty set. An *intuitionistic fuzzy set* A is an ordered pair

$$A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$$

where the functions $\mu_A : X \to I$ and $\gamma_A : X \to I$ denote the degree of membership and the degree of nonmembership, respectively, and μ_A + $\gamma_A \leq \tilde{1}.$

Obviously every fuzzy set μ on X is an intuitionistic fuzzy set of the form $(\mu, 1 - \mu)$.

An *intuitionistic fuzzy topology* on X is a family T of intuitionistic fuzzy sets in X which satisfies the following properties;

(1) $0_{\sim}, 1_{\sim} \in T$.

(2) If $A_1, A_2 \in T$, then $A_1 \cap A_2 \in T$.

(3) If $A_i \in T$ for all *i*, then $\bigcup A_i \in T$.

The pair (X, T) is called an *intuitionistic fuzzy topological space*.

Let I(X) be a family of all intuitionistic fuzzy sets of X and let $I \otimes I$ be the set of the pair (r, s) such that $r, s \in I$ and $r + s \leq 1$.

DEFINITION 2.1. [13] Let X be a nonempty set. An *intuitionistic* fuzzy topology in Šostak's sense $\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma} = (\mathcal{T}^{\mu}, \mathcal{T}^{\gamma})$ on X is a mapping $\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}: I(X) \to I \otimes I(\mathcal{T}^{\mu}, \mathcal{T}^{\gamma}: I(X) \to I)$ which satisfies the following properties;

(1) $\mathcal{T}^{\mu}(0_{\sim}) = \mathcal{T}^{\mu}(1_{\sim}) = 1$ and $\mathcal{T}^{\gamma}(0_{\sim}) = \mathcal{T}^{\gamma}(1_{\sim}) = 0.$

- (2) $\mathcal{T}^{\mu}(A \cap B) \geq \mathcal{T}^{\mu}(A) \wedge \mathcal{T}^{\mu}(B)$ and $\mathcal{T}^{\gamma}(A \cap B) \leq \mathcal{T}^{\gamma}(A) \vee \mathcal{T}^{\gamma}(B)$.
- (3) $\mathcal{T}^{\mu}(\bigcup A_i) \ge \bigwedge \mathcal{T}^{\mu}(A_i) \text{ and } \mathcal{T}^{\gamma}(\bigcup A_i) \le \bigvee \mathcal{T}^{\gamma}(A_i).$

The $(X, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$ is said to be an *intuitionistic fuzzy topological space in* Sostak's sense. Also, we call $\mathcal{T}^{\mu}(A)$ a gradation of openness of A and $\mathcal{T}^{\gamma}(A)$ a gradation of nonopenness of A.

Let A be an intuitionistic fuzzy set in an intuitionistic fuzzy topological space in Šostak's sense $(X, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$ and $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$. Then A is said to be

- (1) a $\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy (r, s)-open set if $\mathcal{T}^{\mu}(A) \geq r$ and $\mathcal{T}^{\gamma}(A) \leq s$, (2) a $\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy (r, s)-closed set if $\mathcal{T}^{\mu}(A^C) \geq r$ and $\mathcal{T}^{\gamma}(A^C) \leq s$.

Let $(X, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy topological space in Sostak's sense. For each $(r,s) \in I \otimes I$ and for each $A \in I(X)$, the $\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy (r, s)-closure is defined by

$$\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{cl}(A,(r,s)) = \bigcap \{ B \in I(X) \mid A \subseteq B, B \text{ is } \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{fuzzy } (r,s)\text{-}\mathrm{closed} \}$$

and the $\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy (r, s)-interior is defined by

 $\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\operatorname{-int}(A,(r,s)) = \bigcup \{ B \in I(X) \mid A \supseteq B, B \text{ is } \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\operatorname{-fuzzy}(r,s)\operatorname{-open} \}.$

LEMMA 2.2. [10] For an intuitionistic fuzzy set A in an intuitionistic fuzzy topological space in Šostak's sense $(X, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$ and $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$, we have

- (1) $\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}$ -cl $(A, (r, s))^C = \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}$ -int $(A^C, (r, s))$, and (2) $\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}$ -int $(A, (r, s))^C = \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}$ -cl $(A^C, (r, s))$.

A system $(X, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ consisting of a set X with two intuitionistic fuzzy topologies in Šostak's sense $\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}$ and $\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}$ on X is called a *double* bitopological space.

DEFINITION 2.3. [10, 11] Let A be an intuitionistic fuzzy set of a double bitopological space $(X, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ and $(r, s), (u, v) \in I \otimes I$. Then A is said to be

- (1) a $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double (r, s)(u, v)-semiopen set if there is a $\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy (r, s)-open set B in X such that $B \subseteq A \subseteq \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}$ -cl(B, (u, v)),
- (2) a $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double (r, s)(u, v)-semiclosed set if there is a $\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}$ fuzzy (r, s)-closed set B in X such that $\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}$ -int $(B, (u, v)) \subseteq A \subseteq$ B,
- (3) a $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double (r, s)(u, v)-preopen set if

$$A \subseteq \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\operatorname{-int}(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\operatorname{-cl}(A,(u,v)),(r,s)),$$

(4) a
$$(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$$
-double $(r, s)(u, v)$ -preclosed set if
 $A \supseteq \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}$ -cl $((\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}-int(A, (u, v)), (r, s))).$

3. Double strongly (r, s)(u, v)-semiopen sets

DEFINITION 3.1. Let A be an intuitionistic fuzzy set of a double bitopological space $(X, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ and $(r, s), (u, v) \in I \otimes I$. Then A is said to be

(1) a $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double strongly (r, s)(u, v)-semiopen set if there is a $\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy (r, s)-open set B in X such that

$$B \subseteq A \subseteq \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\operatorname{-int}(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\operatorname{-cl}(B,(u,v)),(r,s)),$$

(2) a $(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double strongly (u, v)(r, s)-semiopen set if there is a $\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy (u, v)-open set B in X such that

$$B \subseteq A \subseteq \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\operatorname{-int}(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\operatorname{-cl}(B,(r,s)),(u,v)),$$

(3) a $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double strongly (r, s)(u, v)-semiclosed set if there is a $\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy (r, s)-closed set B in X such that

$$\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{cl}(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{int}(B,(u,v)),(r,s)) \subseteq A \subseteq B,$$

(4) a $(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double strongly (u, v)(r, s)-semiclosed set if there is a $\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy (u, v)-closed set B in X such that

$$\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}$$
-cl $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}$ -int $(B, (r, s)), (u, v)) \subseteq A \subseteq B.$

THEOREM 3.2. Let A be an intuitionistic fuzzy set of a double bitopological space $(X, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ and $(r, s), (u, v) \in I \otimes I$. Then the following statements are equivalent;

- (1) A is a $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double strongly (r, s)(u, v)-semiopen set.
- (2) A^C is a $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double strongly (r, s)(u, v)-semiclosed set.
- (3) $A \subseteq \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}$ -int $(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}$ -cl $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}$ -int(A, (r, s)), (u, v)), (r, s)).
- (4) $A^{\overline{C}} \supseteq \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}$ -cl $(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}$ -int $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}$ -cl $(A^{\overline{C}}, (r, s)), (u, v)), (r, s)).$
- (5) A is a $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double (r, s)(u, v)-semiopen and $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double (r, s)(u, v)-preopen set.
- (6) A^C is a $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double (r, s)(u, v)-semiclosed and $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double (r, s)(u, v)-preclosed set.

Proof. $(1) \Leftrightarrow (2), (3) \Leftrightarrow (4)$ and $(5) \Leftrightarrow (6)$ follow from Lemma 2.2.

 $(1) \Rightarrow (3)$ Let A be a $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double strongly (r, s)(u, v)-semiopen set. Then there is a $\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy (r, s)-open set B in X such that $B \subseteq A \subseteq \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}$ -int $(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}$ -cl(B, (u, v)), (r, s)). Since $B \subseteq A$, we have

$$B = \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\operatorname{-int}(B, (r, s)) \subseteq \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\operatorname{-int}(A, (r, s)).$$

Hence

$$\begin{split} A &\subseteq \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{int}(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{cl}(B,(u,v)),(r,s)) \\ &\subseteq \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{int}(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{cl}(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{int}(A,(r,s)),(u,v)),(r,s)). \end{split}$$

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Let $A \subseteq \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\operatorname{-int}(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\operatorname{-int}(A, (r, s)), (u, v)), (r, s))$. Suppose that $B = \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\operatorname{-int}(A, (r, s))$. Then B is a $\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy (r, s)-open set and

$$\begin{split} B &= \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{int}(A,(r,s)) \subseteq A \\ &\subseteq \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{int}(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{cl}(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{int}(A,(r,s)),(u,v)),(r,s)) \\ &= \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{int}(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{cl}(B,(u,v)),(r,s)). \end{split}$$

Thus A is a $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double strongly (r, s)(u, v)-semiopen set. (1) \Rightarrow (5) It is obvious.

(5) \Rightarrow (3) Let A be a $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double (r, s)(u, v)-semiopen and $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double preopen set of X. Then $A \subseteq \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}$ -cl $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}$ -int(A, (r, s)), (u, v)) and $A \subseteq \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}$ -int $(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}$ -cl(A, (u, v)), (r, s)). Therefore

$$\begin{split} A &\subseteq \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma} \operatorname{-int}(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\operatorname{-cl}(A, (u, v)), (r, s)) \\ &\subseteq \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\operatorname{-int}(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\operatorname{-cl}(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\operatorname{-cl}(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\operatorname{-int}(A, (r, s)), (u, v)), (u, v)), (r, s)) \\ &= \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\operatorname{-int}(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\operatorname{-cl}(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\operatorname{-int}(A, (r, s)), (u, v)), (r, s)). \end{split}$$

This completes the proof.

COROLLARY 3.3. Let A be an intuitionistic fuzzy set of a double bitopological space $(X, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ and $(r, s), (u, v) \in I \otimes I$. Then the following statements are equivalent;

- (1) A is a $(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double strongly (u, v)(r, s)-semiopen set.
- (2) A^C is a $(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double strongly (u, v)(r, s)-semiclosed set.
- (3) $A \subseteq \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}$ -int $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}$ -cl $(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}$ -int(A, (u, v)), (r, s)), (u, v)).
- (4) $A^C \supseteq \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}$ -cl $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}$ -int $(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}$ -cl $(A^C, (u, v)), (r, s)), (u, v)).$
- (5) A is a $(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double (u, v)(r, s)-semiopen and $(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double (u, v)(r, s)-preopen set.
- (6) A^C is a $(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double (u, v)(r, s)-semiclosed and $(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$ double (u, v)(r, s)-preclosed set.

It is clear that every $\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy (r, s)-open ((r, s)-closed) set is $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ double strongly (r, s)(u, v)-semiopen (strongly (r, s)(u, v)-semiclosed) and every $\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy (u, v)-open ((u, v)-closed) set is $(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double strongly (u, v)(r, s)-semiopen (strongly (u, v)(r, s)-semiclosed) but the converse need not be true which is shown by the following example.

EXAMPLE 3.4. Let $X = \{x, y\}$ and let A_1, A_2, A_3 and A_4 be intuitionistic fuzzy sets of X defined as

$$A_1(x) = (0.1, 0.8), \quad A_1(y) = (0.3, 0.2);$$

 $A_2(x) = (0.5, 0.3), \quad A_2(y) = (0.6, 0.1);$
 $A_3(x) = (0.2, 0.5), \quad A_3(y) = (0.7, 0.1);$

and

$$A_4(x) = (0.6, 0.2), \quad A_4(y) = (0.8, 0.1).$$

Define $\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}: I(X) \to I \otimes I$ and $\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}: I(X) \to I \otimes I$ by

$$\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}(A) = (\mathcal{T}^{\mu}(A), \mathcal{T}^{\gamma}(A)) = \begin{cases} (1,0) & \text{if } A = 0_{\sim}, 1_{\sim}, \\ (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{5}) & \text{if } A = A_1, \\ (0,1) & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}(A) = (\mathcal{U}^{\mu}(A), \mathcal{U}^{\gamma}(A)) = \begin{cases} (1,0) & \text{if } A = 0_{\sim}, 1_{\sim}, \\ (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}) & \text{if } A = A_2, \\ (0,1) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then clearly $(X, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ is a double bitopological space on X. The intuitionistic fuzzy set A_3 is a $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double strongly $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{5})(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4})$ semiopen set which is not a $\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{5})$ -open set and A_3^C is a $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double strongly $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{5})(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4})$ -semiclosed set which is not a $\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{5})$ -closed set. Also A_4 is a $(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double strongly $(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4})(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{5})$ -semiopen set which is not a $\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy $(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4})(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{5})$ -semiclosed set which is not a $\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy $(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4})$ -open set and A_4^C is a $(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double strongly $(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4})(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{5})$ -semiclosed set which is not a $\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy $(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4})$ -closed set.

THEOREM 3.5. Let $(X, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ be a double bitopological space and $(r, s), (u, v) \in I \otimes I$.

- (1) If $\{A_k\}$ is a family of $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double strongly (r, s)(u, v)-semiopen sets of X, then $\cup A_k$ is a $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double strongly (r, s)(u, v)-semiopen set.
- (2) If $\{A_k\}$ is a family of $(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double strongly (u, v)(r, s)-semiopen sets of X, then $\cup A_k$ is a $(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double strongly (u, v)(r, s)-semiopen set.
- (3) If $\{A_k\}$ is a family of $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double strongly (r, s)(u, v)-semiclosed sets of X, then $\cap A_k$ is a $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double strongly (r, s)(u, v)-semiclosed set.
- (4) If $\{A_k\}$ is a family of $(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double strongly (u, v)(r, s)-semiclosed sets of X, then $\cap A_k$ is a $(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double strongly (u, v)(r, s)-semiclosed set.

Proof. (1) Let $\{A_k\}$ be a collection of $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double strongly (r, s)(u, v)-semiopen sets. Then for each k, there is a $\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy (r, s)open set B_k such that $B_k \subseteq A_k \subseteq \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}$ -int $(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}$ -cl $(B_k, (u, v)), (r, s))$. Since B_k is $\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy (r, s)-open, $\mathcal{T}^{\mu}(B_k) \geq r$ and $\mathcal{T}^{\gamma}(B_k) \leq s$ for each k. So $\mathcal{T}^{\mu}(\cup B_k) \geq \wedge \mathcal{T}^{\mu}(B_k) \geq r$ and $\mathcal{T}^{\gamma}(\cup B_k) \leq \vee \mathcal{T}^{\gamma}(B_k) \leq s$. Thus $\cup B_k$ is a $\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy (r, s)-open set. Also, we have

$$\bigcup B_k \subseteq \bigcup A_k \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma} \operatorname{-int}(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma} \operatorname{-cl}(B_k, (u, v)), (r, s))$$

$$\subseteq \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma} \operatorname{-int}(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma} \operatorname{-cl}(\bigcup B_k, (u, v)), (r, s)).$$

Hence $\cup A_k$ is a $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double strongly (r, s)(u, v)-semiopen set.

(2) Let $\{A_k\}$ be a collection of $(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double strongly (u, v)(r, s)semiopen sets. Then for each k, there is a $\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy (u, v)-open set B_k

such that $B_k \subseteq A_k \subseteq \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}$ -int $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}$ -cl $(B_k, (r, s)), (u, v))$. Since B_k is $\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy (u, v)-open, $\mathcal{U}^{\mu}(B_k) \geq u$ and $\mathcal{U}^{\gamma}(B_k) \leq v$ for each k. So $\mathcal{U}^{\mu}(\cup B_k) \geq \wedge \mathcal{U}^{\mu}(B_k) \geq u$ and $\mathcal{U}^{\gamma}(\cup B_k) \leq \vee \mathcal{U}^{\gamma}(B_k) \leq v$. Thus $\cup B_k$ is a $\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy (u, v)-open set. Also, we have

$$\bigcup B_k \subseteq \bigcup A_k \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma} \operatorname{-int}(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma} \operatorname{-cl}(B_k, (r, s)), (u, v))$$

$$\subseteq \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma} \operatorname{-int}(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma} \operatorname{-cl}(\bigcup B_k, (r, s)), (u, v)).$$

Hence $\cup A_k$ is a $(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double strongly (u, v)(r, s)-semiopen set.

(3) It follows from (1) and Theorem 3.2.

(4) It follows from (2) and Corollary 3.3.

It is obvious that every $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double strongly (r, s)(u, v)-semiopen $((\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double strongly (u, v)(r, s)-semiopen) set is not only a $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double (r, s)(u, v)-semiopen $((\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double (u, v)(r, s)semiopen) set but also a $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double (r, s)(u, v)-preopen $((\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$ double (u, v)(r, s)-preopen) set. However, the following example show that all of the converses need not be true.

EXAMPLE 3.6. Let $X = \{x, y\}$ and let A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4, A_5 and A_6 be intuitionistic fuzzy sets of X defined as

$$A_1(x) = (0.1, 0.6), \quad A_1(y) = (0.5, 0.4);$$

$$A_2(x) = (0.5, 0.2), \quad A_2(y) = (0.3, 0.6);$$

$$A_3(x) = (0.2, 0.6), \quad A_3(y) = (0.5, 0.3);$$

$$A_4(x) = (0.1, 0.6), \quad A_4(y) = (0.4, 0.5);$$

$$A_5(x) = (0.5, 0.1), \quad A_5(y) = (0.4, 0.5);$$

and

 $A_{6}(x) = (0.4, 0.5), \quad A_{6}(y) = (0.2, 0.7).$ Define $\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma} : I(X) \to I \otimes I$ and $\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma} : I(X) \to I \otimes I$ by $\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}(A) = (\mathcal{T}^{\mu}(A), \mathcal{T}^{\gamma}(A)) = \begin{cases} (1, 0) & \text{if } A = 0_{\sim}, 1_{\sim}, \\ (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{5}) & \text{if } A = A_{1}, \\ (0, 1) & \text{otherwise}; \end{cases}$

and

$$\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}(A) = (\mathcal{U}^{\mu}(A), \mathcal{U}^{\gamma}(A)) = \begin{cases} (1,0) & \text{if } A = 0_{\sim}, 1_{\sim}, \\ (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}) & \text{if } A = A_2, \\ (0,1) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then clearly $(X, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ is a double bitopological space on X. The intuitionistic fuzzy set A_3 is a $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{5})(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4})$ -semiopen set which is not a $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double strongly $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{5})(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4})$ -semiopen set

and A_4 is a $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{5})(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4})$ -preopen set which is not a $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double strongly $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{5})(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4})$ -semiopen set. Also A_5 is a $(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4})(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{5})$ -semiopen set which is not a $(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$ double strongly $(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4})(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{5})$ -semiopen set and A_6 is a $(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double $(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4})(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{5})$ -preopen set which is not a $(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double strongly $(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4})$ $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{5})$ -semiopen set.

DEFINITION 3.7. Let $f: (X, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}) \to (Y, \mathcal{V}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{W}^{\mu\gamma})$ be a mapping from a double bitopological space X to a double bitopological space Y and $(r, s), (u, v) \in I \otimes I$. Then f is called a *double pairwise* strongly (r, s)(u, v)-semicontinuous mapping if $f^{-1}(A)$ is a $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ double strongly (r, s)(u, v)-semiopen set of X for each $\mathcal{V}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy (r, s)open set A of Y and $f^{-1}(B)$ is a $(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double (u, v)(r, s)-semiopen set of X for each $\mathcal{W}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy (u, v)-open set B of Y.

THEOREM 3.8. Let $f: (X, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}) \to (Y, \mathcal{V}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{W}^{\mu\gamma})$ be a mapping and $(r, s), (u, v) \in I \otimes I$. Then the following statements are equivalent;

- (1) f is a double pairwise strongly (r, s)(u, v)-semicontinuous mapping.
- (2) $f^{-1}(A)$ is a $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double strongly (r, s)(u, v)-semiclosed set of X for each $\mathcal{V}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy (r, s)-closed set A of Y and $f^{-1}(B)$ is a $(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double strongly (u, v)(r, s)-semiclosed set of X for each $\mathcal{W}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy (u, v)-closed set B of Y.
- (3) For each intuitionistic fuzzy set A of Y,

$$\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{cl}(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{int}(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{cl}(f^{-1}(A), (r, s)), (u, v)), (r, s))$$
$$\subseteq f^{-1}(\mathcal{V}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{cl}(A, (r, s)))$$

and

$$\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{cl}(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{int}(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{cl}(f^{-1}(A),(u,v)),(r,s)),(u,v))$$
$$\subseteq f^{-1}(\mathcal{W}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{cl}(A,(u,v))).$$

(4) For each intuitionistic fuzzy set C of X,

$$f(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{cl}(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{int}(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{cl}(C,(r,s)),(u,v)),(r,s))))$$

$$\subseteq \mathcal{V}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{cl}(f(C),(r,s))$$

and

$$f(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{cl}(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{int}(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{cl}(C,(u,v)),(r,s)),(u,v)))$$
$$\subseteq \mathcal{W}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{cl}(f(C),(u,v)).$$

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let A be any $\mathcal{V}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy (r, s)-closed set and Bany $\mathcal{W}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy (u, v)-closed set of Y. Then A^C is a $\mathcal{V}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy (r, s)open set and B^C is a $\mathcal{W}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy (u, v)-open set of Y. Since f is a double pairwise strongly (r, s)(u, v)-semicontinuous mapping, $f^{-1}(A^C)$ is a $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double strongly (r, s)(u, v)-semiopen set and $f^{-1}(B^C)$ is a $(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double strongly (u, v)(r, s)-semiopen set of X. By Theorem 3.2 and Corollary 3.3, $f^{-1}(A)$ is a $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double strongly (r, s)(u, v)semiclosed set and $f^{-1}(B)$ is a $(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double strongly (u, v)(r, s)semiclosed set of X.

 $\begin{array}{l} (2) \Rightarrow (1) \mbox{ Let } A \mbox{ be any } \mathcal{V}^{\mu\gamma}\mbox{-fuzzy } (r,s)\mbox{-open set and } B \mbox{ any } \mathcal{W}^{\mu\gamma}\mbox{-fuzzy } (u,v)\mbox{-open set of } Y. \mbox{ Then } A^C \mbox{ is a } \mathcal{V}^{\mu\gamma}\mbox{-fuzzy } (r,s)\mbox{-closed set and } B^C \mbox{ is a } \mathcal{W}^{\mu\gamma}\mbox{-fuzzy } (u,v)\mbox{-closed set of } Y. \mbox{ By } (2), f^{-1}(A^C) \mbox{ is a } (\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma},\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})\mbox{-double strongly } (r,s)(u,v)\mbox{-semiclosed set and } f^{-1}(B^C) \mbox{ is a } (\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma},\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})\mbox{-double strongly } (u,v)(r,s)\mbox{-semiclosed set of } X. \mbox{ By Theorem 3.2 and Corollary } 3.3, f^{-1}(A) \mbox{ is a } (\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma},\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})\mbox{-double strongly } (u,v)(r,s)\mbox{-semiopen set and } f^{-1}(B) \mbox{ is a } (\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma},\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})\mbox{-double strongly } (u,v)(r,s)\mbox{-semiopen set of } X. \mbox{ Thus } f \mbox{ is a double pairwise strongly } (r,s)(u,v)\mbox{-semicontinuous mapping.} \end{array}$

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Let A be any intuitionistic fuzzy set of Y. Then $\mathcal{V}^{\mu\gamma}$ -cl(A, (r, s)) is a $\mathcal{V}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy (r, s)-closed set and $\mathcal{W}^{\mu\gamma}$ -cl(A, (u, v)) is a $\mathcal{W}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy (u, v)-closed set of Y. By (2), $f^{-1}(\mathcal{V}^{\mu\gamma}$ -cl(A, (r, s))) is a $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double strongly (r, s)(u, v)-semiclosed set and $f^{-1}(\mathcal{W}^{\mu\gamma}$ -cl(A, (u, v))) is a $(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double strongly (u, v)(r, s)-semiclosed set of X. Thus

$$\begin{split} f^{-1}(\mathcal{V}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{cl}(A,(r,s))) \\ &\supseteq \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{cl}(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{int}(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{cl}(f^{-1}(\mathcal{V}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{cl}(A,(r,s))),(r,s)),(u,v)),(r,s)) \\ &\supseteq \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{cl}(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{int}(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{cl}(f^{-1}(A),(r,s)),(u,v)),(r,s)) \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} f^{-1}(\mathcal{W}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{cl}(A,(u,v))) \\ &\supseteq \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{cl}(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{int}(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{cl}(f^{-1}(\mathcal{W}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{cl}(A,(u,v))),(u,v)),(r,s)),(u,v)) \\ &\supseteq \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{cl}(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{int}(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{cl}(f^{-1}(A),(u,v)),(r,s)),(u,v)). \end{split}$$

 $(3) \Rightarrow (4)$ Let C be any intuitionistic fuzzy set of X. Then f(C) is an intuitionistic fuzzy set of Y. By (3)

$$\begin{split} f^{-1}(\mathcal{V}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{cl}(f(C),(r,s))) \\ &\supseteq \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{cl}(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{int}(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{cl}(f^{-1}f(C),(r,s)),(u,v)),(r,s)) \\ &\supseteq \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{cl}(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{int}(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{cl}(C,(r,s)),(u,v)),(r,s)) \end{split}$$

and

$$f^{-1}(\mathcal{W}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{cl}(f(C),(u,v)))$$

$$\supseteq \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{cl}(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{int}(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{cl}(f^{-1}f(C),(u,v)),(r,s)),(u,v))$$

$$\supseteq \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{cl}(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{int}(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{cl}(C,(u,v)),(r,s)),(u,v)).$$

Hence

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{V}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{cl}(f(C),(r,s)) &\supseteq ff^{-1}(\mathcal{V}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{cl}(f(C),(r,s))) \\ &\supseteq f(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{cl}(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{cl}(\mathcal{C},(r,s)),(u,v)),(r,s))) \end{split}$$

and

$$\mathcal{W}^{\mu\gamma}\operatorname{-cl}(f(C),(u,v)) \supseteq ff^{-1}(\mathcal{W}^{\mu\gamma}\operatorname{-cl}(f(C),(u,v)))$$
$$\supseteq f(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\operatorname{-cl}(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\operatorname{-int}(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\operatorname{-cl}(C,(u,v)),(r,s)),(u,v))).$$

(4) \Rightarrow (2) Let A be any $\mathcal{V}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy (r, s)-closed set and B any $\mathcal{W}^{\mu\gamma}$ -fuzzy (u, v)-closed set of Y. Then $f^{-1}(A)$ and $f^{-1}(B)$ are intuitionistic fuzzy sets of X. By (4),

$$f(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\operatorname{-cl}(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\operatorname{-cl}(f^{-1}(A), (r, s)), (u, v)), (r, s)))$$

$$\subseteq \mathcal{V}^{\mu\gamma}\operatorname{-cl}(ff^{-1}(A), (r, s))$$

$$\subseteq \mathcal{V}^{\mu\gamma}\operatorname{-cl}(A, (r, s)) = A$$

and

$$f(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{cl}(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{int}(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{cl}(f^{-1}(B),(u,v)),(r,s)),(u,v)))$$

$$\subseteq \mathcal{W}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{cl}(ff^{-1}(B),(u,v))$$

$$\subseteq \mathcal{W}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{cl}(B,(u,v)) = B.$$

So we have

$$\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{cl}(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{int}(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{cl}(f^{-1}(A),(r,s)),(u,v)),(r,s))$$
$$\subseteq f^{-1}f(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{cl}(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{int}(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{cl}(f^{-1}(A),(r,s)),(u,v)),(r,s)))$$
$$\subseteq f^{-1}(A)$$

and

$$\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{cl}(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{int}(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{cl}(f^{-1}(B),(u,v)),(r,s)),(u,v))$$
$$\subseteq f^{-1}f(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{cl}(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{cl}(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}\text{-}\mathrm{cl}(f^{-1}(B),(u,v)),(r,s)),(u,v)))$$
$$\subseteq f^{-1}(B).$$

Thus $f^{-1}(A)$ is a $(\mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double strongly (r, s)(u, v)-semiclosed set and $f^{-1}(B)$ is a $(\mathcal{U}^{\mu\gamma}, \mathcal{T}^{\mu\gamma})$ -double strongly (u, v)(r, s)-semiclosed set of X. \Box

References

- K. T. Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 20 (1986), 87-96.
- [2] C. L. Chang, *Fuzzy topological spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 24 (1968), 182-190.
- [3] K. C. Chattopadhyay, R. N. Hazra, and S. K. Samanta, Gradation of openness : Fuzzy topology, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 49 (1992), 237-242.
- [4] D. Çoker and A. Haydar Eş, On fuzzy compactness in intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces, J. Fuzzy Math. 3 (1995), 899-909.
- [5] D. Çoker and M. Demirci, An introduction to intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces in Šostak's sense, BUSEFAL, 67 (1996), 67-76.
- [6] D. Çoker, An introduction to intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 88 (1997), 81-89.
- [7] H. Gürçay, D. Çoker, and A. Haydar Eş, On fuzzy continuity in intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces, J. Fuzzy Math. 5 (1997), 365-378.
- [8] A. Kandil, Biproximities and fuzzy bitopological spaces, Simon Stevin, 63 (1989), 45-66.
- [9] E. P. Lee, $(\mathcal{T}_i, \mathcal{T}_j)$ -fuzzy α -(r, s)-semiopen sets and fuzzy pairwise α -(r, s)-semicontinuous mappings, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **39** (2002), 653-663.
- [10] E. P. Lee and S. O. Lee, *Double semiopen sets on double bitopological spaces*, Journal of the Chungcheong Mathematical Society, **26** (2013), 691-702.
- [11] E. P. Lee and S. O. Lee, *Double* (r, s)(u, v)-preopen sets, Journal of the Chungcheong Mathematical Society, **29** (2016), 13-22.
- [12] A. A. Ramadan, Smooth topological spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 48 (1992), 371-375.
- [13] A. P. Šostak, On a fuzzy topological structure, Suppl. Rend. Circ. Matem. Janos Palermo, Sr. II, 11 (1985), 89-103.

*

Department of Clinical Laboratory Science Seonam University Namwon 55724, Republic of Korea *E-mail*: eplee@seonam.ac.kr

**

Department of Mathematics Chungbuk National University Cheongju 28644, Republic of Korea *E-mail*: solee@chungbuk.ac.kr